1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	ILLINOIS POWER COMPANY d/b/a ) DOCKET NO.  AmerenIP ) 08-0291  and
4	AMEREN ILLINOIS TRANSMISSION ) COMPANY )
5	Petition for an Order pursuant to )
6	Section 8-509 of the Public ) Utilities Act approving )
7	Petitioners' use of eminent domain )  power.
8	power.
9	Springfield, Illinois
10	Friday, January 9, 2009
11	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.
12	BEFORE:
13	MR. LARRY JONES, Administrative Law Judge
14	APPEARANCES:
15	MR. ALBERT STURTEVANT JONES DAY
16	77 West Wacker Chicago, Illinois 60601
17	Ph. (312) 269-4094
18	(Appearing on behalf of
19	Petitioners via teleconference)
20	
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
22	Carla J. Boehl, Reporter Ln. #084-002710
	71

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	MS. LINDA M. BUELL Office of General Counsel
3	527 East Capitol Avenue Springfield, Illinois 62701
4	Ph. (217) 557-1142
5	(Appearing on behalf of Staff of the Illinois Commerce
6	Commission)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	72

1		<u>I N I</u>	O E X		
2	WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	None.	DIKECI	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	None.				
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13		F. X H	IBITS		
14		<u> </u>	<u></u>	MARKED	ADMITTED
15	None.			<u>IIIIII B</u>	
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
					73

## PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE JONES: Good morning. I call for hearing Docket Number 08-0291. This is titled in part Illinois Power Company d/b/a AmerenIP and Ameren Illinois Transmission Company, petition for an order pursuant to Section 8-509 of the Public Utilities Act approving Petitioner's use of eminent domain power.

At this time would the parties please enter your respective appearances orally for the record, first on behalf of the Petitioners?

MR. STURTEVANT: Appearing on behalf of Petitioners, Albert Sturtevant, Jones Day, 77 West Wacker, Chicago, Illinois.

MS. BUELL: Appearing on behalf of Staff witnesses of the Illinois Commerce Commission, Linda M. Buell, 527 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield,

Thank you. Commission Staff?

Illinois 62701.

JUDGE JONES:

JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Are there any other appearances? Let the record show there are not.

All right. In terms of what happens next in this docket, there are several Ameren

1	witnesses with prefiled testimony. Does Staff have
2	any cross of those witnesses?
3	MS. BUELL: Your Honor, as you may be aware,
4	Staff filed a petition for interlocutory review this
5	morning. And Staff won't know until the Commission
6	acts on that petition whether or not it will have
7	cross examination for Ameren witnesses.
8	JUDGE JONES: You will need to indicate today
9	whether you want to reserve cross for those witnesses
LO	or not. We are not going to wait until after action
L1	on the Commission IR request before doing any further
L2	scheduling in this docket.
L3	MS. BUELL: Well then, yes, Your Honor, Staff
L4	would like to reserve time to cross-examine Ameren's
L5	witnesses.
L6	JUDGE JONES: Mr. Sturtevant, do you have any
L7	proposed cross examination date for that?
L8	MR. STURTEVANT: I am surveying my calendar,
L9	Your Honor.
20	Your Honor, I guess I would probably
21	propose a date perhaps of the first week of February.
22	I would prefer to propose a date sooner, but I am not
	75

sure about witness availability. I suppose the possibility would be to schedule either cross examination for late next week or perhaps the week of January 26. But that would have to be contingent upon checking the witnesses' schedule and also contingent upon, obviously, Staff's estimates of who -- indicate who they were going to cross, their estimates of how much time.

JUDGE JONES: All right. That was late next week or what was the other date in there?

MR. STURTEVANT: The week of January 26 or the following week of February 2.

I would note, Your Honor, that the -perhaps this is the solution, that the hearing,
evidentiary hearing, for Docket 08-0449 which is sort
of the companion case to this one is scheduled for
February 4. That involves the same witnesses. I am
just thinking out loud, but I believe the witnesses
all were available for that hearing date. You know,
perhaps it makes sense to schedule the evidentiary
hearing for this in conjunction with that one as
well.

1	MS. BUELL: Actually, Bert, I think we had set
2	aside both February 4 and February 5 for the
3	evidentiary hearings in 08-0449.
4	MR. STURTEVANT: I think that's right.
5	I guess I would just add, Your Honor,
6	again as I said before, we would prefer to move
7	forward expeditiously on this. But if Staff is going
8	to have cross, in terms of getting the witnesses
9	present perhaps that February 4 and 5 date is going
10	to make the most sense.
11	JUDGE JONES: Just for clarification,
12	Mr. Sturtevant, are you suggesting you be given an
13	opportunity to check an earlier date with your
14	witnesses or are you suggesting that the hearing be
15	set for February 4?
16	MR. STURTEVANT: I think as a practical matter,
17	Your Honor, it may make more sense to set it for
18	February 4.
19	JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Ms. Buell, does Staff
20	have any objection to that particular date?
21	MS. BUELL: Well, Your Honor, to the extent
22	that testimony may be admitted into the record or

1 cross examination may be had on testimony that is 2 later stricken by the Commission, yes, Staff would 3 have objection to it. 4 JUDGE JONES: Do you have any other objections 5 besides those? 6 MS. BUELL: Staff is available on that date, 7 Your Honor. All right. At the conclusion of 8 JUDGE JONES: today's status hearing, the matter will be set for a 9 10 hearing date for cross examination of the Ameren 11 witnesses, if there is cross examination of those witnesses, on February 4 at 10:00 a.m. 12 13 To the extent there is cross 14 examination on that date, the sequence to be used on 15 that date with respect to -0449 versus -0291 will be 16 worked out in advance or at that time. 17 And I would note for the record that under the Commission's rules only in extraordinary 18 19 circumstances shall an interlocutory review request 20 suspend a hearing, and I do not see how the Staff's request for not setting a hearing for that purpose 21 22 meets the extraordinary circumstances test set out in

78

1	the Commission's rules of practice. Hence, we will
2	proceed with the scheduling as outlined above.
3	Do the parties have anything else for
4	the purposes of today's status hearing?
5	MR. STURTEVANT: Your Honor, I would just like
6	to make the request of Staff, if I might, that to the
7	extent that they are going to have cross examination,
8	if they could let me know as soon as practical so
9	that I can determine which witnesses will or won't
10	have to travel to Springfield for that date, I would
11	greatly appreciate it.
12	MS. BUELL: Staff has no objection to that.
13	Staff will just need some time to review the
14	testimony in order to make that determination.
15	JUDGE JONES: All right. Anything further on
16	that?
17	MS. BUELL: Not on that, Your Honor. Staff was
18	wondering what the procedure is going to be regarding
19	Ameren's motion for a protective order. Responses
20	and replies were filed, but there was never a ruling
21	on that.
22	JUDGE JONES: A ruling on that will be issued.
	1

1	Is that something Staff needs to know in anticipation
2	of cross examination?
3	MS. BUELL: I don't think so, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE JONES: Anything else? All right. At
5	this time let the record show that today's status
6	hearing is over. In accordance with the
7	above-referenced discussion and ruling, this matter
8	is continued to an evidentiary hearing date of
9	February 4 at 10:00 a.m. Thank you.
10	(Whereupon the hearing in this
11	matter was continued until
12	February 4, 2009, at 10:00 a.m.
13	in Springfield, Illinois.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	80